

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/693,272	Applicant(s) HONECK, JORDON D.	
	Examiner Mark W. Bockelman	Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:

(1) Mark W. Bockelman.

(2) Scott Bardell.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1, 17, 27

Prior art documents discussed:
Art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed claim language that better characterizes the invention render moot any potential rejections based upon art of record.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark W Bockelman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)